

EXHIBIT 26

(Part 1)

**THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN
REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY**

EXHIBIT 3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

THOMSON REUTERS ENTERPRISE)	
CENTRE GMBH and WEST PUBLISHING)	
CORPORATION,)	
)	
)	
Plaintiffs and)	C.A. No. 20-613 (SB)
Counterdefendants,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
ROSS INTELLIGENCE INC.,)	
)	
Defendant and)	
Counterclaimant.)	

**PLAINTIFFS AND COUNTERDEFENDANTS THOMSON REUTERS ENTERPRISE
CENTRE GMBH AND WEST PUBLISHING CORPORATION'S THIRD
SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT AND
COUNTERCLAIMANT ROSS INTELLIGENCE INC.'S INTERROGATORY NO. 1**

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33 and the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware (the “Local Rules” and each a “Local Rule”), Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GmbH (“Thomson Reuters”) and West Publishing Corporation (“West”) (collectively “Plaintiffs”), hereby supplement their responses to Defendant and Counterclaimant ROSS Intelligence Inc.’s (“Defendant”) Interrogatories, served on May 12, 2021 (the “First Set of Interrogatories” and each individually, an “Interrogatory”) in the above-captioned action (“Action”) as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. Plaintiffs object to the First Set of Interrogatories to the extent that it purports to impose obligations beyond those set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules, or other applicable law.

2. Plaintiffs object to the First Set of Interrogatories to the extent that it seeks proprietary or confidential business information, trade secrets or other sensitive information. To the extent that the response to any Interrogatory requires the disclosure of any non-privileged proprietary or confidential information, trade secrets or other sensitive information, Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

3. Plaintiffs object to the First Set of Interrogatories to the extent that it seeks information that is subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work product privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection under federal or state law. Plaintiffs do not waive, intend to preserve, and are preserving any such privilege with respect to any information protected by such privilege.

4. The responses provided to the First Set of Interrogatories are based on Plaintiffs' interpretation of the language used in the First Set of Interrogatories. Plaintiffs reserve their right to amend or to supplement their responses and objections in the event that Defendant asserts an interpretation that differs from Plaintiffs' interpretation.

5. Plaintiffs object to the First Set of Interrogatories to the extent that it seeks information that is outside of Plaintiffs' possession, custody, or control, including in Instruction No. 7. For the purpose of responding to the First Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs will provide responses based on the information that is reasonably within their possession, custody, or control.

6. The responses below shall not be interpreted to concede the truth of any factual assertion or implication contained in the First Set of Interrogatories. Plaintiffs' responses to the First Set of Interrogatories in no way constitute admissions or acknowledgements by Plaintiffs as to the relevance, materiality, or admissibility of any of the information contained therein, and Plaintiffs expressly reserve their rights to object as such.

7. Plaintiffs reserve the right to modify or supplement their objections and responses to the First Set of Interrogatories to conform to the results of continuing discovery. These responses also are subject to correction for omissions or errors.

8. In providing responses to the First Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs do not in any way waive or intend to waive, but rather are preserving and intend to preserve:

- (i) All objections as to competency, authenticity, relevancy, materiality and admissibility;
- (ii) All rights to object on any grounds to the use in any subsequent proceedings of any of the responses or information contained herein, including but not limited to the right to object at the trial of this or any other action;
- (iii) All objections as to vagueness and ambiguity; and
- (iv) All rights to object on any grounds to any further interrogatories.

9. Plaintiffs object to the First Set of Interrogatories to the extent that any Interrogatory's subparts, including those arising from Defendant's "Definitions" and "Instructions," actually constitute separate interrogatories, thereby exceeding the number of interrogatories permitted under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

10. Plaintiffs object to the First Set of Interrogatories as premature to the extent that it asks or requires Plaintiffs to provide information that is the subject of expert disclosures under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2).

11. Plaintiffs object to the First Set of Interrogatories to the extent that it is premature in that it asks or requires Plaintiffs to analyze or formulate contentions on matters for which Plaintiffs' investigation and discovery have not yet been completed. The responses provided below are based on the investigation conducted to date and are without prejudice to any later supplementation, amendment, or modification.

12. Plaintiffs objects to the First Set of Interrogatories as overly broad to the extent that it defines the term "THOMSON REUTERS" to include "its present and former officers, directors, employees, attorneys, agents, representatives, successors, predecessors, owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliated companies, or any other person or entity acting or purporting to act on its behalf." For the purpose of responding to the First Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs will construe this term to mean solely Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GmbH, and not any other person or entity.

13. Plaintiffs objects to the First Set of Interrogatories as overly broad to the extent that it defines the term "WEST" to include "its present and former officers, directors, employees, attorneys, agents, representatives, successors, predecessors, owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliated companies, or any other person or entity acting or purporting to act on its behalf." For the purpose of responding to the First Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs will construe this term to mean solely West Publishing Corporation, and not any other person or entity.

14. Plaintiffs objects to the First Set of Interrogatories as overly broad to the extent that it defines the terms "YOU," "YOUR," and "PLAINTIFFS" to incorporate the definitions of the

terms “THOMSON REUTERS” and “WEST” and thus are defined to include Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GmbH’s and West Publishing Corporation’s “present and former officers, directors, employees, attorneys, agents, representatives, successors, predecessors, owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliated companies, or any other person or entity acting or purporting to act on [their] behalf.” For the purpose of responding to the First Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs will construe the terms “YOU,” “YOUR,” and “PLAINTIFFS” to mean solely Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GmbH and West Publishing Corporation, and not any other person or entity.

15. Plaintiffs objects to the First Set of Interrogatories as overly broad to the extent that it defines the terms “ROSS,” “DEFENDANT,” and “COUNTERCLAIMANT” to include “its present and former officers, directors, employees, attorneys, agents, representatives, successors, predecessors, owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliated companies, or any other person or entity acting or purporting to act on its behalf.” For the purpose of responding to the First Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs will construe these terms to mean solely ROSS Intelligence, Inc., and not any other person or entity.

16. Plaintiffs object to the First Set of Interrogatories as vague and ambiguous to the extent that it defines the term “DESCRIBE” using the capitalized term “Identifying.” It is unclear whether Defendant intended to incorporate the definition of the defined term “IDENTIFY,” in which case the definition of the term “DESCRIBE” is nonsensical, or to give that word a separate definition. For the purpose of responding to the First Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs will construe the term “Identifying” as used in the definition of the term “DESCRIBE” with its ordinary meaning.

17. Plaintiffs object to Instruction No. 6 to the extent it purports to impose obligations with regard to the production of privilege logs that differ from those required by the Federal Rules and Local Rules. Plaintiffs will log information pursuant to the Protective Order.

18. Plaintiffs object to Instruction Nos. 7 and 9 as vague and ambiguous to the extent that they use the undefined term “FOUNDATION.” For the purpose of responding to the First Set of Interrogatories, Plaintiffs will construe that term to mean Thomson Reuters Enterprise Centre GmbH and West Publishing Corporation, and not any other person, entity, or foundation.

19. Plaintiffs object to the First Set of Interrogatories as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that its Interrogatories do not specify timeframes and are thus unlimited as to time.

20. Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing General Objections into each and every one of its responses to the First Set of Interrogatories as set forth below.

RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

IDENTIFY the specific portions of the WESTLAW CONTENT that you claim is subject to copyright that ROSS INFRINGES.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent that the phrases “specific portions” and “you claim is subject to copyright” are unclear and undefined. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad, unduly burdensome, and premature to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to identify any and all WESTLAW CONTENT owned by Plaintiffs that ROSS has infringed and continues to infringe, without ROSS first responding to Plaintiffs’ discovery requests, which will narrow the focus of the parties’ discovery efforts to the content actually at issue in this case. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “ROSS” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 15. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business information. Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Thomson Reuters owns copyrights in the content that is part of Westlaw that was created by Plaintiffs’ attorney-editors through a rigorous editorial process. As alleged in Plaintiffs’

Complaint, this content includes original Westlaw headnotes and the West Key Number System (“WKNS”).

With regard to judicial opinions, the editorial process begins with newly published opinions being divided into different categories that are assigned a priority level by Plaintiffs’ attorney-editors. Priority cases include opinions from the United States Supreme Court, the federal courts of appeals, and the States’ highest courts, along with some jurisdictions Plaintiffs have designated. Beginning with the highest priority cases, Plaintiffs’ attorney-editors carefully review the opinion to identify concepts discussed by the court that they select to call out as points of law. Each point of law is reflected in a headnote, and each headnote will, ordinarily, contain a single point of law. In some cases, however, multiple points of law may be included in a single headnote to compare and contrast, or to show how they operate in conjunction with each other—this is a decision left to the attorney-editors’ discretion.

Many creative choices are made by Plaintiffs’ attorney-editors when they create headnotes. For example, headnotes are written to be understood standing alone—*i.e.*, no reference to the opinion or any other headnote should be necessary for it to be understood. Thus, Plaintiffs’ attorney-editors write the headnotes so that they summarize only certain, selected facts, and explain in clear language the holding of the court or contentions of the parties. Plaintiffs’ attorney-editors will also make the editorial judgment of recommending Key Number topics to assign to headnotes to integrate the new opinion into the West Key Number System. Although Plaintiffs have created tools to assist their attorney-editors in this effort, such as the tool discussed in Plaintiffs’ response to Interrogatory No. 12, which Plaintiffs incorporate hereto by reference, it is ultimately Plaintiffs’ attorney-editors that arrange the headnotes in the WKNS. Pursuant to Rule 33(d), Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the editorial manual used by Plaintiffs’ attorney-editors

for creating headnotes and assigning Key Number topics during the editorial process, which was produced in this Litigation at TR-0002864–TR-0003137.

Plaintiffs' attorney-editors will also create headnotes for the various legal standards, factual holdings, and legal conclusions made by the court, create original synopses and holdings to be included at the beginning of the opinion in Westlaw, and determine which legal topics covered in the opinion and what search terms should be associated with the opinion so it may be integrated into the database of opinions on Westlaw and the WKNS.

After Plaintiffs' attorney-editors have created the headnotes and made initial recommendations of where they might be placed in the WKNS, the opinion is assigned to one or more "classifiers"—attorney-editors who are responsible for classifying headnotes in the WKNS—who actually assign Key Numbers to the headnote (including reassigning the suggested Key Number topics of the headnote writers), and/or send the case back to the original attorney-editor for further review. Senior classifiers also will reorganize parts of the WKNS from time to time. There is nothing that dictates how the WKNS is organized or what keywords are used to express it.

As indicated in the certificates of registration for Westlaw, Thomson Reuters does not claim copyrights in material prepared by a United States Government officer or employee as a part of that person's official duties, including without limitations government edicts, legislative enactments, judicial decisions, or similar types of official legal materials. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d), Plaintiffs incorporate by reference those certificates, which were produced as Exhibit A to Plaintiffs' Complaint. Plaintiffs also incorporate the deposit copies for those certificates, which were produced in this Litigation at TR-0003138–TR-0033981.

With regard to ROSS's infringement of Plaintiffs' content, ROSS and LegalEase Solutions, LLC ("LegalEase") have agreed to produce in this Litigation documents responsive to Plaintiffs' discovery requests, but Plaintiffs have yet to receive any such documents. Nevertheless, it is Plaintiffs' understanding that, at ROSS's request and with its knowledge and participation, LegalEase created "Memos" using Westlaw. As explained in Section 1.3 of the "Statement of Work II for ROSS Bulk Memos" that was published on May 7, 2020 by ROSS on the website available at <https://medium.com/@AndrewArruda/hold-59effcd819b0>, each Memo was to contain (1) a "Legal Research Question" created by LegalEase, which the SOW defines as "a question grounded in legal principles," (2) a "Reference List," which the SOW defines as "the list of Case Law included in the Memo," and (3) "a target of at least four (4) and no more than six (6) Quotes," which are defined as "an independent paragraph excerpt from Case Law." It is Plaintiffs' understanding that the questions were based on headnotes from Westlaw that were written by Plaintiffs' attorney-editors. In addition to paraphrasing the headnotes, the Memos' list of cases were selected by Plaintiffs' attorney-editors for that headnote and arranged in Plaintiffs' WKNS hierarchy. It is further Plaintiffs' understanding, based on Mr. Arruda's blog post, that ROSS used the Memos to create the ROSS platform. Pursuant to Federal Rule 33(d), the SOW is incorporated by reference herein as are the memoranda produced by ROSS in this Litigation at ROSS-00000001-ROSS-000175081.

Plaintiffs' discovery efforts in this case are ongoing, and they reserve the right to further supplement this response in light of facts learned during discovery. In particular, once they have the opportunity to review fully the memoranda ROSS recently produced, Plaintiffs will provide a supplemental response to this Interrogatory.

* BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY DESIGNATION *

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1 (NOVEMBER 19, 2021):

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in size from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page.

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in size from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the frame.

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, representing data points. The bars are arranged vertically, with the longest bar at the top and the shortest at the bottom. The lengths of the bars correspond to the values in the following table:

Index	Value
1	100
2	100
3	95
4	100
5	98
6	100
7	90
8	100
9	100
10	100
11	100
12	95
13	100
14	100
15	100
16	95
17	100
18	100
19	100
20	100

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in size from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the frame.


A horizontal bar chart consisting of 20 solid black bars. The bars are arranged in two main horizontal groups. The top group contains 10 bars, and the bottom group contains 10 bars. The bars in the top group are generally longer than those in the bottom group. The bars are set against a white background with no grid lines.


A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, arranged vertically. The bars are of uniform thickness and are set against a white background. The lengths of the bars decrease from top to bottom, creating a visual gradient. The first bar is the longest, and the last bar is the shortest. The bars are evenly spaced vertically.



A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in size from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page. The lengths of the bars decrease in a regular, linear fashion from the top bar to the bottom bar.

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, arranged vertically. The bars are of uniform thickness and are set against a white background. The lengths of the bars decrease from top to bottom, creating a visual gradient. The first bar is the longest, followed by a shorter bar, then a longer bar, and so on, with the last bar being the shortest. The bars are evenly spaced vertically.

Term	Percentage
GMOs	85
Organic	80
Natural	75
Artificial	65
Organic	82
Natural	78
Artificial	68
Organic	83
Natural	79
Artificial	69
Organic	84
Natural	80
Artificial	70
Organic	81
Natural	77
Artificial	66
Organic	82
Natural	78
Artificial	67
Organic	83
Natural	79
Artificial	68
Organic	84
Natural	80
Artificial	71
Organic	85
Natural	81
Artificial	72
Organic	86
Natural	82
Artificial	73
Organic	87
Natural	83
Artificial	74
Organic	88
Natural	84
Artificial	75
Organic	89
Natural	85
Artificial	76
Organic	90
Natural	86
Artificial	77
Organic	91
Natural	87
Artificial	78
Organic	92
Natural	88
Artificial	79
Organic	93
Natural	89
Artificial	80
Organic	94
Natural	90
Artificial	81
Organic	95
Natural	91
Artificial	82
Organic	96
Natural	92
Artificial	83
Organic	97
Natural	93
Artificial	84
Organic	98
Natural	94
Artificial	85
Organic	99
Natural	95
Artificial	86
Organic	100
Natural	96
Artificial	87









A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in length from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page.







[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1 (MARCH 23, 2022):


[REDACTED]


- Does that headnote fit with the other headnotes that share those topics, sub-topics,


Topic	Percentage
Smart homes	100
Smart cities	100
Smart transportation	100
Smart energy	100
Smart agriculture	100
Smart healthcare	100
Smart education	100
Smart manufacturing	100
Smart waste management	100
Smart water management	100
Smart transportation	100
The concept of a 'smart city'	75
Smart traffic lights	70
Smart sensors	65
Smart grids	60
Smart buildings	55
Smart infrastructure	50
Smart waste management	45
Smart water management	40
Smart energy	35
Smart agriculture	30
Smart healthcare	25
Smart education	20
Smart manufacturing	15
Smart waste management	10
Smart water management	5


¹ All documents cited by bates number in this response are incorporated by reference pursuant to Rule 33(d).

2 [REDACTED]










A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, arranged vertically. The bars are of uniform thickness and are set against a white background. The lengths of the bars decrease from top to bottom, creating a visual gradient. The first bar is the longest, and the last bar is the shortest. The bars are evenly spaced vertically.

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in length from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page.



A 2D grayscale plot showing a highly noisy, high-contrast image of a handwritten digit. The digit appears to be a '4' and is rendered in black on a white background. The plot is framed by a thick black border.

A series of 12 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the frame.

6 These cases can be found at the following Bates numbers: ROSS-009691813-ROSS-009691815, ROSS-009692108-ROSS-009692114, ROSS-009693003-ROSS-009693008, ROSS-009693472-ROSS-009693474, ROSS-009692914-ROSS-009692919, ROSS-009692363-ROSS-009692370, ROSS-009693806-ROSS-009693812, ROSS-009693984-ROSS-009693991, ROSS-009692807-ROSS-009692815, ROSS-009693813-ROSS-009693820, ROSS-009691963-ROSS-009691968, ROSS-009691604-ROSS-009691606, ROSS-009691939-ROSS-009691945, ROSS-009691928-ROSS-009691934, ROSS-009691977-ROSS-009691984, ROSS-009691501-ROSS-009691503, ROSS-009692454-ROSS-009692462, ROSS-009693222-ROSS-009693236, ROSS-009691718-ROSS-009691727, ROSS-009692731-ROSS-009692754, ROSS-009691748-ROSS-009691756, ROSS-009693157-ROSS-009693159, ROSS-009693750-ROSS-009693763, ROSS-009692391-ROSS-009692400, ROSS-009692635-ROSS-009692641, ROSS-009692625-ROSS-009692627, ROSS-009691870-ROSS-009691876, ROSS-009693064-ROSS-009693077, ROSS-009692835-ROSS-009692859, ROSS-009692794-ROSS-009692796, ROSS-009691457-ROSS-009691463, ROSS-009692986-ROSS-009692990, ROSS-009691496-ROSS-009691500, ROSS-009693318-ROSS-009693327, ROSS-009692699-ROSS-009692725, ROSS-009691989-ROSS-009691994, ROSS-009692953-ROSS-009692979, ROSS-009691973-ROSS-009691976, ROSS-009692564-ROSS-009692576, ROSS-009693025-ROSS-009693036, ROSS-009692060-ROSS-009692065, ROSS-009691367-ROSS-009691383, ROSS-009691562-ROSS-009691590, ROSS-009691405-ROSS-009691411, ROSS-009692249-ROSS-009692260, ROSS-009692923-ROSS-009692930, ROSS-009691420-ROSS-009691433, ROSS-009693237-ROSS-009693244, ROSS-009691854-ROSS-009691864, ROSS-009692140-ROSS-009692150, ROSS-009691740-ROSS-009691747, ROSS-009691682-ROSS-009691692, ROSS-009692401-ROSS-009692453, ROSS-009693060-ROSS-009693063, ROSS-009692129-ROSS-009692134, ROSS-009692802-ROSS-009692806, ROSS-

009693821-ROSS-009693827, ROSS-009693057-ROSS-009693059, ROSS-009693400-ROSS-009693410, ROSS-009692729-ROSS-009692730, ROSS-009693511-ROSS-009693516, ROSS-009693555-ROSS-009693564, ROSS-009692083-ROSS-009692087, ROSS-009692161-ROSS-009692174, ROSS-009692095-ROSS-009692104, ROSS-009692463-ROSS-009692472, ROSS-009692797-ROSS-009692801, ROSS-009692371-ROSS-009692380, ROSS-009691447-ROSS-009691451, ROSS-009691903-ROSS-009691913, ROSS-009693938-ROSS-009693951, ROSS-009693614-ROSS-009693622, ROSS-009691476-ROSS-009691485, ROSS-009692327-ROSS-009692343, ROSS-009693175-ROSS-009693190, ROSS-009693125-ROSS-009693153, ROSS-009693784-ROSS-009693798, ROSS-009692822-ROSS-009692828, ROSS-009691384-ROSS-009691404, ROSS-009693339-ROSS-009693347, ROSS-009692880-ROSS-009692896, ROSS-009693862-ROSS-009693891, ROSS-009691693-ROSS-009691699, ROSS-009693245-ROSS-009693254, ROSS-009691757-ROSS-009691788, ROSS-009693952-ROSS-009693961, ROSS-009692545-ROSS-009692554, ROSS-009691946-ROSS-009691958, ROSS-009692935-ROSS-009692944, ROSS-009691533-ROSS-009691539, ROSS-009692031-ROSS-009692035, ROSS-009693718-ROSS-009693728, ROSS-009693902-ROSS-009693908, ROSS-009693837-ROSS-009693839, ROSS-009691789-ROSS-009691795, ROSS-009693469-ROSS-009693471, ROSS-009693857-ROSS-009693861, ROSS-009693191-ROSS-009693193, ROSS-009691611-ROSS-009691618, ROSS-009692277-ROSS-009692284, ROSS-009692005-ROSS-009692014, ROSS-009692075-ROSS-009692082, ROSS-009691877-ROSS-009691885, ROSS-009692920-ROSS-009692922, ROSS-009693374-ROSS-009693378, ROSS-009693391-ROSS-009693394, ROSS-009692980-ROSS-009692982, ROSS-009693828-ROSS-009693829, ROSS-009692261-ROSS-009692276, ROSS-009692381-ROSS-009692390, ROSS-009692105-ROSS-009692107, ROSS-009691486-ROSS-009691492, ROSS-009693565-ROSS-009693593, ROSS-009692897-ROSS-009692913, ROSS-009694001-ROSS-009694004, ROSS-009691969-ROSS-009691972, ROSS-009693275-ROSS-009693291, ROSS-009691823-ROSS-009691828, ROSS-009693535-ROSS-009693540, ROSS-009692002-ROSS-009692004, ROSS-009693499-ROSS-009693510, ROSS-009691596-ROSS-009691600, ROSS-009692036-ROSS-009692046, ROSS-009693737-ROSS-009693749, ROSS-009692945-ROSS-009692952, ROSS-009691412-ROSS-009691414, ROSS-009693160-ROSS-009693162, ROSS-009691914-ROSS-009691927, ROSS-009692655-ROSS-009692668, ROSS-009692860-ROSS-009692871, ROSS-009692991-ROSS-009692993, ROSS-009692755-ROSS-009692758, ROSS-009692577-ROSS-009692590, ROSS-009693154-ROSS-009693156, ROSS-009693712-ROSS-009693717, ROSS-009691591-ROSS-009691595, ROSS-009693395-ROSS-009693399, ROSS-009693194-ROSS-009693199, ROSS-009693933-ROSS-009693937, ROSS-009692057-ROSS-009692059, ROSS-009692642-ROSS-009692654, ROSS-009691816-ROSS-009691819, ROSS-009693962-ROSS-009693968, ROSS-009691607-ROSS-009691610, ROSS-009693623-ROSS-009693656, ROSS-009693200-ROSS-009693206, ROSS-009693329-ROSS-009693338, ROSS-009693475-ROSS-009693487, ROSS-009691796-ROSS-009691812, ROSS-009692285-ROSS-009692326, ROSS-009692151-ROSS-009692160, ROSS-009691540-ROSS-009691545, ROSS-009693292-ROSS-009693308, ROSS-009691546-ROSS-009691561, ROSS-009692816-ROSS-009692821, ROSS-009691835-ROSS-009691839, ROSS-009691464-ROSS-009691475, ROSS-009693348-ROSS-009693359, ROSS-009693207-ROSS-009693221, ROSS-009691625-ROSS-009691635, ROSS-009692674-ROSS-009692690, ROSS-009691995-ROSS-009692001, ROSS-009692224-ROSS-009692238, ROSS-009693078-ROSS-009693086, ROSS-009691415-ROSS-009691419, ROSS-009693594-ROSS-009693603, ROSS-009693119-ROSS-009693124, ROSS-009692027-ROSS-009692030, ROSS-009692872-ROSS-009692879, ROSS-009691504-ROSS-009691510, ROSS-009692617-ROSS-009692624, ROSS-009693662-ROSS-009693711, ROSS-009691829-ROSS-009691834, ROSS-009694010-ROSS-009694022, ROSS-009693094-ROSS-009693105, ROSS-009692983-ROSS-009692985, ROSS-009691452-ROSS-009691456, ROSS-009693541-ROSS-009693554, ROSS-009693009-ROSS-009693012, ROSS-009694005-ROSS-009694009, ROSS-009693492-ROSS-009693498, ROSS-009692239-ROSS-009692248, ROSS-009693013-ROSS-009693024, ROSS-009693087-ROSS-009693093, ROSS-009693488-ROSS-009693491, ROSS-009693771-ROSS-009693778, ROSS-009692669-ROSS-009692673, ROSS-009693270-ROSS-009693274, ROSS-009692628-ROSS-009692634, ROSS-009691523-ROSS-009691529, ROSS-009691840-ROSS-009691853, ROSS-009692193-ROSS-009692223, ROSS-009693517-ROSS-009693520, ROSS-009693521-ROSS-009693524, ROSS-009693463-ROSS-009693468, ROSS-009693255-ROSS-009693269, ROSS-009693309-ROSS-009693317, ROSS-009692499-ROSS-009692519, ROSS-009693830-ROSS-009693836, ROSS-009693841-ROSS-009693856, ROSS-009692047-ROSS-009692056, ROSS-009692536-ROSS-009692544, ROSS-009693379-ROSS-009693390, ROSS-009691511-ROSS-009691516, ROSS-009692931-ROSS-009692934, ROSS-009692066-ROSS-009692074, ROSS-009693360-ROSS-009693373, ROSS-009692609-

ROSS-009692616, ROSS-009693779-ROSS-009693783, ROSS-009693037-ROSS-009693056, ROSS-009691493-ROSS-009691495, ROSS-009694023-ROSS-009694034, ROSS-009691601-ROSS-009691603, ROSS-009691935-ROSS-009691938, ROSS-009692726-ROSS-009692728, ROSS-009691865-ROSS-009691869, ROSS-009693328, ROSS-009691985-ROSS-009691988, ROSS-009691434-ROSS-009691440, ROSS-009692484-ROSS-009692498, ROSS-009691665-ROSS-009691672, ROSS-009693525-ROSS-009693534, ROSS-009692175-ROSS-009692192, ROSS-009692015-ROSS-009692026, ROSS-009692691-ROSS-009692698, ROSS-009692115-ROSS-009692128, ROSS-009693729-ROSS-009693736, ROSS-009691530-ROSS-009691532, ROSS-009691636-ROSS-009691657, ROSS-009691820-ROSS-009691822, ROSS-009691728-ROSS-009691739, ROSS-009692555-ROSS-009692563, ROSS-009693163-ROSS-009693174, ROSS-009691886-ROSS-009691891, ROSS-009693411-ROSS-009693419, ROSS-009691517-ROSS-009691522, ROSS-009692473-ROSS-009692483, ROSS-009692597-ROSS-009692608, ROSS-009693799-ROSS-009693805, ROSS-009692520-ROSS-009692535, ROSS-009692591-ROSS-009692596, ROSS-009692088-ROSS-009692094, ROSS-009693764-ROSS-009693770, ROSS-009693604-ROSS-009693613, ROSS-009693106-ROSS-009693118, ROSS-009691658-ROSS-009691664, ROSS-009693982-ROSS-009693983, ROSS-009692344-ROSS-009692362, ROSS-009692994-ROSS-009693002, ROSS-009691619-ROSS-009691624, ROSS-009693442-ROSS-009693462, ROSS-009692759-ROSS-009692793, ROSS-009691441-ROSS-009691446, ROSS-009693992-ROSS-009694000, ROSS-009693918-ROSS-009693932, ROSS-009692829-ROSS-009692834, ROSS-009693420-ROSS-009693441, ROSS-009691892-ROSS-009691902, ROSS-010293230-ROSS-010293237, ROSS-010293242-ROSS-010293245, ROSS-010294361-ROSS-010294364, ROSS-010293374-ROSS-010293383, ROSS-010295579-ROSS-010295581, ROSS-010293356-ROSS-010293358, ROSS-010294832-ROSS-010294848, ROSS-010294092-ROSS-010294102, ROSS-010294202-ROSS-010294209, ROSS-010295200-ROSS-010295203, ROSS-010295568-ROSS-010295578, ROSS-010293606-ROSS-010293607, ROSS-010294249-ROSS-010294255, ROSS-010293351-ROSS-010293355, ROSS-010293807-ROSS-010293813, ROSS-010293498-ROSS-010293506, ROSS-010293369-ROSS-010293373, ROSS-010294056-ROSS-010294067, ROSS-010295025-ROSS-010295028, ROSS-010295040-ROSS-010295049, ROSS-010293531-ROSS-010293539, ROSS-010293453-ROSS-010293456, ROSS-010293659-ROSS-010293664, ROSS-010293246-ROSS-010293248, ROSS-010295326-ROSS-010295332, ROSS-010293316-ROSS-010293321, ROSS-010293423-ROSS-010293426, ROSS-010293514-ROSS-010293530, ROSS-010295366-ROSS-010295372, ROSS-010295029-ROSS-010295039, ROSS-010293547-ROSS-010293562, ROSS-010293619-ROSS-010293625, ROSS-010293347-ROSS-010293350, ROSS-010295361-ROSS-010295365, ROSS-010293928-ROSS-010293941, ROSS-010294608-ROSS-010294611, ROSS-010295131-ROSS-010295134, ROSS-010294855-ROSS-010294898, ROSS-010293855-ROSS-010293861, ROSS-010294103-ROSS-010294114, ROSS-010293874-ROSS-010293881, ROSS-010293457-ROSS-010293461, ROSS-010294676-ROSS-010294688, ROSS-010293540-ROSS-010293546, ROSS-010295204-ROSS-010295210, ROSS-010294324-ROSS-010294342, ROSS-010294708-ROSS-010294723, ROSS-010294830-ROSS-010294831, ROSS-010295115-ROSS-010295116, ROSS-010293249-ROSS-010293264, ROSS-010293696-ROSS-010293701, ROSS-010293446-ROSS-010293452, ROSS-010293739-ROSS-010293742, ROSS-010294934-ROSS-010294942, ROSS-010293608-ROSS-010293613, ROSS-010294800-ROSS-010294805, ROSS-010295058-ROSS-010295066, ROSS-010293462-ROSS-010293475, ROSS-010294352-ROSS-010294360, ROSS-010294811-ROSS-010294818, ROSS-010294021-ROSS-010294035, ROSS-010295298-ROSS-010295306, ROSS-010294272-ROSS-010294277, ROSS-010294573-ROSS-010294607, ROSS-010294970-ROSS-010294974, ROSS-010295458-ROSS-010295468, ROSS-010293265-ROSS-010293272, ROSS-010293966-ROSS-010293977, ROSS-010294256-ROSS-010294271, ROSS-010293882-ROSS-010293897, ROSS-010293309-ROSS-010293315, ROSS-010295214-ROSS-010295271, ROSS-010295378-ROSS-010295387, ROSS-010294422-ROSS-010294540, ROSS-010294307-ROSS-010294317, ROSS-010294779-ROSS-010294783, ROSS-010293329-ROSS-010293336, ROSS-010293749-ROSS-010293763, ROSS-010294210-ROSS-010294213, ROSS-010294620-ROSS-010294627, ROSS-010295616-ROSS-010295620, ROSS-010295414-ROSS-010295420, ROSS-010293238-ROSS-010293241, ROSS-010294235-ROSS-010294240, ROSS-010295316-ROSS-010295321, ROSS-010294278-ROSS-010294280, ROSS-010293273-ROSS-010293279, ROSS-010294241-ROSS-010294248, ROSS-010294612-ROSS-010294616, ROSS-010293728-ROSS-010293735, ROSS-010293717-ROSS-010293727, ROSS-010294910-ROSS-010294917, ROSS-010293563-ROSS-010293571, ROSS-010295016-ROSS-010295024, ROSS-010294918-ROSS-010294923, ROSS-010295553-ROSS-010295561, ROSS-010294784-ROSS-010294790, ROSS-010293592-ROSS-010293597, ROSS-010295502-ROSS-010295507, ROSS-010294751-ROSS-010294778, ROSS-010295546-ROSS-010295552, ROSS-

010294343-ROSS-010294351, ROSS-010293384-ROSS-010293389, ROSS-010294849-ROSS-010294854, ROSS-010293712-ROSS-010293716, ROSS-010295443-ROSS-010295457, ROSS-010294226-ROSS-010294234, ROSS-010294997-ROSS-010295015, ROSS-010295593-ROSS-010295615, ROSS-010294791-ROSS-010294799, ROSS-010295347-ROSS-010295360, ROSS-010293691-ROSS-010293695, ROSS-010294735-ROSS-010294740, ROSS-010293862-ROSS-010293864, ROSS-010295469-ROSS-010295481, ROSS-010293978-ROSS-010293989, ROSS-010295582-ROSS-010295592, ROSS-010294375-ROSS-010294394, ROSS-010295399-ROSS-010295409, ROSS-010293769-ROSS-010293770, ROSS-010294724-ROSS-010294734, ROSS-010295153-ROSS-010295158, ROSS-010295279-ROSS-010295281, ROSS-010294318-ROSS-010294323, ROSS-010293796-ROSS-010293800, ROSS-010293301-ROSS-010293308, ROSS-010293839-ROSS-010293841, ROSS-010294281-ROSS-010294290, ROSS-010293359-ROSS-010293363, ROSS-010293776-ROSS-010293781, ROSS-010293400-ROSS-010293418, ROSS-010295388-ROSS-010295398, ROSS-010294994-ROSS-010294996, ROSS-010294115-ROSS-010294141, ROSS-010294541-ROSS-010294551, ROSS-010293865-ROSS-010293873, ROSS-010294365-ROSS-010294374, ROSS-010294819-ROSS-010294829, ROSS-010293801-ROSS-010293806, ROSS-010295421-ROSS-010295428, ROSS-010295272-ROSS-010295278, ROSS-010293398-ROSS-010293399, ROSS-010295117-ROSS-010295130, ROSS-010294222-ROSS-010294225, ROSS-010293814-ROSS-010293817, ROSS-010295514-ROSS-010295529, ROSS-010294899-ROSS-010294909, ROSS-010294395-ROSS-010294402, ROSS-010293942-ROSS-010293965, ROSS-010294160-ROSS-010294201, ROSS-010295211-ROSS-010295213, ROSS-010293419-ROSS-010293422, ROSS-010295410-ROSS-010295413, ROSS-010293911-ROSS-010293922, ROSS-010293390-ROSS-010293397, ROSS-010295322-ROSS-010295325, ROSS-010295150-ROSS-010295152, ROSS-010294142-ROSS-010294159, ROSS-010293923-ROSS-010293927, ROSS-010295333-ROSS-010295343, ROSS-010295495-ROSS-010295501, ROSS-010294655-ROSS-010294661, ROSS-010295489-ROSS-010295494, ROSS-010294291-ROSS-010294306, ROSS-010294689-ROSS-010294707, ROSS-010294068-ROSS-010294081, ROSS-010294214-ROSS-010294221, ROSS-010295067-ROSS-010295074, ROSS-010294566-ROSS-010294572, ROSS-010294403-ROSS-010294421, ROSS-010293476-ROSS-010293483, ROSS-010293427-ROSS-010293435, ROSS-010294963-ROSS-010294969, ROSS-010293578-ROSS-010293587, ROSS-010295429-ROSS-010295436, ROSS-010293835-ROSS-010293838, ROSS-010293771-ROSS-010293775, ROSS-010293572-ROSS-010293577, ROSS-010293507-ROSS-010293513, ROSS-010294617-ROSS-010294619, ROSS-010295104-ROSS-010295114, ROSS-010295172-ROSS-010295175, ROSS-010293702-ROSS-010293711, ROSS-010294662-ROSS-010294675, ROSS-010294628-ROSS-010294640, ROSS-010293898-ROSS-010293910, ROSS-010293280-ROSS-010293300, ROSS-010293337-ROSS-010293340, ROSS-010293677-ROSS-010293690, ROSS-010294556-ROSS-010294565, ROSS-010294954-ROSS-010294962, ROSS-010294641-ROSS-010294654, ROSS-010293743-ROSS-010293748, ROSS-010295099-ROSS-010295103, ROSS-010295621-ROSS-010295626, ROSS-010295135-ROSS-010295138, ROSS-010293736-ROSS-010293738, ROSS-010294981-ROSS-010294993, ROSS-010293341-ROSS-010293346, ROSS-010293668-ROSS-010293670, ROSS-010293782-ROSS-010293783, ROSS-010294552-ROSS-010294555, ROSS-010295530-ROSS-010295545, ROSS-010294741-ROSS-010294750, ROSS-010295050-ROSS-010295057, ROSS-010294048-ROSS-010294055, ROSS-010293665-ROSS-010293667, ROSS-010294082-ROSS-010294091, ROSS-010293842-ROSS-010293854, ROSS-010293829-ROSS-010293834, ROSS-010294806-ROSS-010294810, ROSS-010294924-ROSS-010294933, ROSS-010294943-ROSS-010294953, ROSS-010295139-ROSS-010295146, ROSS-010295282-ROSS-010295292, ROSS-010295373-ROSS-010295377, ROSS-010295437-ROSS-010295442, ROSS-010295176-ROSS-010295199, ROSS-010293784-ROSS-010293788, ROSS-010293364-ROSS-010293368, ROSS-010293789-ROSS-010293795, ROSS-010294036-ROSS-010294047, ROSS-010293484-ROSS-010293497, ROSS-010295159-ROSS-010295171, ROSS-010293997-ROSS-010294020, ROSS-010295075-ROSS-010295098, ROSS-010293671-ROSS-010293676, ROSS-010293818-ROSS-010293828, ROSS-010293764-ROSS-010293768, ROSS-010293614-ROSS-010293618, ROSS-010293322-ROSS-010293328, ROSS-010293436-ROSS-010293445, ROSS-010293990-ROSS-010293996, ROSS-010294975-ROSS-010294980, ROSS-010293598-ROSS-010293605.

1. **What is the primary purpose of the study?** The study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of a new treatment for hypertension in a diverse population.

2. **What is the study design?** The study is a randomized controlled trial (RCT) comparing the new treatment (Group A) against a standard treatment (Group B).

3. **What are the inclusion and exclusion criteria?** Inclusion criteria: adults aged 18-65 with systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg. Exclusion criteria: pregnant women, those with severe kidney disease, and those on other hypertension medications.

4. **What are the primary and secondary outcomes?** Primary outcome: change in SBP at 12 weeks. Secondary outcomes: change in diastolic blood pressure (DBP), quality of life (QOL) scores, and adverse events.

5. **What is the sample size and duration?** Sample size: 400 participants (200 per group). Duration: 12 weeks of treatment followed by a 4-week follow-up.

6. **What are the statistical methods used?** The study will use intention-to-treat analysis. Primary analysis will be by ANOVA. Secondary analyses will include multivariate regression models and subgroup analyses.


7. **What is the timeline for the study?** The study is currently in the planning phase, with recruitment expected to begin in Q3 2024 and end in Q1 2025.

8. **What are the funding sources?** The study is funded by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the pharmaceutical company that developed the new treatment.

9. **What are the ethical considerations?** The study will follow the principles of informed consent, confidentiality, and respect for participants. It will be conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines.

10. **What are the expected results?** The study is expected to show that the new treatment is effective in lowering SBP and improving QOL compared to the standard treatment. It will also provide information on the safety profile of the new treatment.

Topic	Percentage
The concept of a 'smart city'	95
Smart cities	92
Smart city technology	88
Smart city infrastructure	40
Smart city management	85
Smart city planning	82
Smart city data	80
Smart city energy	78
Smart city transportation	75
Smart city waste management	50
Smart city water management	48
Smart city healthcare	45
Smart city education	42
Smart city mobility	38




[REDACTED]

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in size from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the frame.

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in length from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the frame. The lengths of the bars decrease in a regular, linear fashion from the top bar to the bottom bar.

The image shows a single page with a series of horizontal black bars used for redaction. There are 21 such bars. The first 19 bars are of equal length and are evenly spaced across the page. The 20th and 21st bars are shorter and are positioned in the center of the page, overlapping the 19th bar. The bars are solid black and have a consistent thickness.

A series of 15 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, arranged vertically. The bars are of uniform thickness and are set against a white background. The lengths of the bars decrease from top to bottom, creating a visual gradient. The first bar is the longest, followed by a shorter bar, then a longer bar, and so on, with the last bar being the shortest.



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]


[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Index	Length
1	100
2	100
3	100
4	100
5	100
6	100
7	100
8	100
9	100
10	100
11	100
12	100
13	100
14	100
15	100
16	100
17	100
18	100
19	100
20	100

A series of 15 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in size from left to right. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the frame.





[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, arranged vertically. The bars are of uniform thickness and are set against a white background. The lengths of the bars decrease from top to bottom, creating a visual gradient. The first bar is the longest, and the last bar is the shortest. The bars are evenly spaced vertically.

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in length from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page.

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, arranged vertically. The bars are of uniform thickness and are set against a white background. The lengths of the bars decrease from top to bottom, creating a visual gradient. The first bar is the longest, followed by a shorter bar, then a longer bar, and so on, alternating in a repeating pattern of length. The bars are positioned in the center of the frame, with a white margin on all sides.

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in length from top to bottom. The first bar is the longest, and the last bar is the shortest. There is a small gap between the 10th and 11th bars.

A series of five horizontal black redaction bars of varying lengths, positioned vertically from top to bottom.

THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1 (AUGUST 22, 2022):

A series of 20 horizontal black bars of varying lengths, decreasing in size from top to bottom. The bars are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page.

A series of horizontal black bars of varying lengths, likely redacted text or images. The bars are arranged vertically and span the width of the page. The lengths of the bars decrease as they move down the page, with the longest bar at the top and the shortest at the bottom.

END OF HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY DESIGNATION

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

IDENTIFY the specific HEADNOTES that you claim is subject to copyright that ROSS INFRINGES.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent that the phrase “you claim is subject to copyright” is unclear and undefined. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad, unduly burdensome, and premature to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to identify “specific HEADNOTES” owned by Plaintiffs that ROSS has infringed and

¹¹ In addition to this list, additional content accessed by LegalEase on Westlaw during the course of the Bulk Memos Project was identified in the LegalEase usage spreadsheet and described in the expert report of James Malackowski.

continues to infringe, without ROSS first responding to Plaintiffs' discovery requests, which will narrow the focus of the parties' discovery efforts to the content actually at issue in this case. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term "ROSS" overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 15. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business information. Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

IDENTIFY the specific portions of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM that you claim is subject to copyright that ROSS INFRINGES.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent that the phrases "specific portions" and "you claim is subject to copyright" are unclear and undefined. Plaintiffs further object to this Interrogatory as overly broad, unduly burdensome, and premature to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to identify "specific portions of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM" owned by Plaintiffs that ROSS has infringed and continues to infringe, without ROSS first responding to Plaintiffs' discovery requests, which will narrow the focus of the parties' discovery efforts to the content actually at issue in this case. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory

as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “ROSS” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 15. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business information. Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

State all facts describing how ROSS infringes Plaintiffs’ asserted copyrights in WESTLAW CONTENT.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent that the phrase “Plaintiffs’ asserted copyrights” is unclear and undefined. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how ROSS infringes Plaintiffs’ copyrights in WESTLAW CONTENT without limitation. Plaintiffs further object to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how ROSS infringes Plaintiffs’ copyrights in WESTLAW CONTENT without ROSS first responding to Plaintiffs’ discovery requests, which will narrow the focus of the parties’ discovery efforts to the content actually at issue in this case. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the

First Set of Interrogatories define the term “ROSS” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 15. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business information. Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

State all facts describing how ROSS infringes Plaintiffs’ asserted copyrights in the specific structure, sequence and organization of specific elements of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how ROSS infringes Plaintiffs’ copyrights in the “specific structure, sequence and organization of specific elements of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM” without limitation. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent the phrases “Plaintiffs’ asserted copyrights,” “specific structure, sequence and organization” and “specific elements” are unclear and undefined. Plaintiffs further object to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how ROSS infringes Plaintiffs’ copyrights in the “specific structure, sequence and organization of specific elements of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM” without ROSS first responding to Plaintiffs’ discovery requests, which will narrow the focus of the parties’ discovery efforts to the content

actually at issue in this case. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “ROSS” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 15. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business information. Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

DESCRIBE how the ROSS product allegedly infringes the WESTLAW CONTENT that you claim is subject to copyright.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to describe how the ROSS product infringes Plaintiffs’ copyrights in WESTLAW CONTENT without limitation. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent the phrases “ROSS product” and “you claim is subject to copyright” are unclear and undefined. Plaintiffs further object to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to describe how the ROSS product infringes Plaintiffs’ copyrights in WESTLAW CONTENT without ROSS first responding to Plaintiffs’ discovery requests, which will narrow the focus of the parties’ discovery efforts to the content actually at

issue in this case. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “ROSS” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 15. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business information. Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

For each WESTLAW CONTENT identified in Interrogatory No. 1, STATE ALL FACTS describing how ROSS allegedly infringes the WESTLAW CONTENT that you claim is subject to copyright.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how ROSS infringes Plaintiffs’ copyrights in WESTLAW CONTENT without limitation. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent the phrase “you claim is subject to copyright” is unclear and undefined. Plaintiffs further object to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how ROSS infringes Plaintiffs’ copyrights in WESTLAW CONTENT without ROSS first responding to Plaintiffs’ discovery requests, which will narrow the focus of the parties’ discovery efforts to the content actually at issue in this case. Plaintiffs

object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “ROSS” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 15. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business information. Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is duplicative of other Interrogatories, including Interrogatory No. 1.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

For each WESTLAW CONTENT identified in Interrogatory No. 1, STATE ALL FACTS that support YOUR contention that the WESTLAW CONTENT that you claim is subject to copyright is original and creative.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how each WESTLAW CONTENT identified in Interrogatory No. 1 is original and creative without limitation. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent that the phrases “you claim is subject to copyright,” “original,” and “creative,” are unclear and undefined. Plaintiffs further object to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how each WESTLAW CONTENT identified in Interrogatory No. 1 is original and creative without

ROSS first responding to Plaintiffs' discovery requests, which will narrow the focus of the parties' discovery efforts to the content actually at issue in this case. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term "YOUR" overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 14. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term "ROSS" overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 15. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business information. Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

For each HEADNOTE identified in Interrogatory No. 2, STATE ALL FACTS that support YOUR contention that the HEADNOTE that you claim is subject to copyright is original and creative.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state "all facts" regarding how each HEADNOTE identified in Interrogatory No. 2 is original and creative without limitation. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent that the phrases "you claim is subject to copyright," "original," and "creative" are unclear and undefined. Plaintiffs further object to this

Interrogatory as premature to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how each HEADNOTE identified in Interrogatory No. 2 is original and creative without ROSS first responding to Plaintiffs’ discovery requests, which will narrow the focus of the parties’ discovery efforts to the content actually at issue in this case. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “YOUR” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 14. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “ROSS” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 15. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business information. Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

For each specific element of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM identified in Interrogatory No. 3, STATE ALL FACTS that support YOUR contention that the specific element of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM that you claim is subject to copyright is original and creative.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how each specific element of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM identified in Interrogatory No. 3 is original and creative without

limitation. Plaintiffs further object to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how each specific element of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM identified in Interrogatory No. 3 is original and creative without ROSS first responding to Plaintiffs’ discovery requests, which will narrow the focus of the parties’ discovery efforts to the content actually at issue in this case. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “YOUR” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 14. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “ROSS” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 15. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent that the phrases “specific element,” “you claim is subject to copyright,” “original,” and “creative” are unclear and undefined. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business information. Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

For the specific structure, sequence and organization of the specific elements of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM identified in Interrogatory No. 3, STATE ALL FACTS that support YOUR contention that such structure, sequence and organization of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM that you claim is subject to copyright is original and creative.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how the specific structure, sequence and organization of the specific elements of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM identified in Interrogatory No. 3 is original and creative without limitation. Plaintiffs further object to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to state “all facts” regarding how the specific structure, sequence and organization of the specific elements of the KEY NUMBER SYSTEM identified in Interrogatory No. 3 is original and creative without ROSS first responding to Plaintiffs’ discovery requests, which will narrow the focus of the parties’ discovery efforts to the content actually at issue in this case. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “YOUR” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 14. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “ROSS” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 15. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous to the extent that the phrases “specific structure, sequence and organization,” “specific elements,” “you claim is subject to copyright,” “original,” and “creative” are unclear and undefined. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business information. Plaintiffs will

provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

DESCRIBE how YOUR algorithmically generated key number categorization system, known as the Classification and Recommendation Engine (CaRE) system, classifies “millions of headnotes to a Key Number taxonomy with more than 90,000 categories,” stated on the website <https://web.archive.org/web/20210507051753/https://www.thomsonreuters.com/en/artificialintelligence/ai-timeline.html>, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the above-stated General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs specifically object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it asks Plaintiffs to describe how the Classification and Recommendation Engine (CaRE) system classifies HEADNOTES to a Key Number taxonomy without limitation. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that the First Set of Interrogatories define the term “YOUR” overly broadly as indicated in General Objection No. 14. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this Litigation nor proportional to the needs of the case. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is or will be in the possession of ROSS by referring to documents, taking depositions, or any other means of obtaining discovery in this Litigation that is more convenient, efficient, practical, and less burdensome. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is publicly available and therefore is equally or less burdensome for ROSS to procure itself. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for confidential information, trade secrets, or proprietary business

information. Plaintiffs will provide such information subject to the Protective Order so ordered by the Court in this case. D.I. 48.

Subject to, as limited by, and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows: The Classification and Recommendation Engine (CaRE) is a tool used by West's attorney-editors during the editorial process to assist "classifiers"—attorney-editors who are responsible for classifying headnotes in the West Key Number System ("WKNS"). Once a headnote is written by an attorney-editor, it is submitted to one or more classifiers along with the highest-level topic to which the attorney-editor believes the headnote belongs. Then, one or more classifiers assign more granular Key Numbers to the headnote and/or reassigned the headnote to a new highest-level topic. To assist the classifier in doing so, the CaRE tool identifies five or more possible Key Numbers to which the headnote could be classified. The tool does not select which Key Number(s) are assigned to the headnote. Instead, Plaintiffs' attorney-editors review the options and decide whether to classify the headnote under any of the suggested Key Numbers. Thus, the CaRE tool is not an automated tool for the finalized classification of headnotes in the WKNS, but rather a tool used to assist Plaintiffs' attorney-editors in making their own editorial decision as to which of the numerous options will be selected for each headnote.

OF COUNSEL:

Dale M. Cendali
Joshua L. Simmons
Eric A. Loverro
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 446-4800

Daniel E. Laytin
Christa C. Cottrell
Cameron Ginder
Alyssa C. Kalisky
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
300 North LaSalle
Chicago, IL 60654
(312) 862-2000

Miranda Means
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
200 Clarendon Street
Boston, MA 02116
(617) 385-7419

August 22, 2022

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Michael J. Flynn

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Michael J. Flynn (#5333)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@morrisnichols.com
mflynn@morrisnichols.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs and
Counterdefendants Thomson Reuters
Enterprise Center GmbH and West Publishing
Corporation*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 22, 2022, copies of the foregoing were caused to be served upon the following in the manner indicated:

David E. Moore, Esquire
Stephanie E. O'Byrne, Esquire
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 North Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Joshua M. Rychlinski, Esquire
Mark A. Klapow, Esquire
Lisa Kimmel, Esquire
Crinesha B. Berry, Esquire
CROWELL & MORING LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20004
Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Gabriel M. Ramsey, Esquire
Kayvan M. Ghaffari, Esquire
Jacob Canter, Esquire
Warrington Parker, Esquire
CROWELL & MORING LLP
3 Embarcadero Center, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

/s/ Michael J. Flynn

Michael J. Flynn (#5333)

**THESE EXHIBIT PAGES WERE
REDACTED IN THEIR ENTIRETY**